

FOR THE REVIEWER

Signature of the paper:

Title of the paper:

Initial assessment *

Wyszczególnienie	Yes	No
Does the paper's title match the content?		
Has the goal (hypotheses, thesis) been formulated in the paper?		
Has the appropriate research method been adopted?		
Are the obtained results (conclusions) correct (new)?		
Are the presented results (conclusions) practical?		
Is the bibliography selection correct?		
Have graphic methods been chosen and used correctly?		
Is the research issue up to date?		
Does the paper have scientific character?		

* put “X” in an appropriate cell

Proper assessment *

Criterion of evaluation in points	2 negative	3 sufficient	4 good	5 very good
Scientific/cognitive value of the paper				
Practical (application) values of the paper				
The correctness and quality of the method used				
Quality and quantity of research material (data)				
Correctness and quality of analysis, results and conclusions				
Formal side (language correctness)				
Expected attractiveness for the reader				
General score (in a scale from 2 to 5)				

* put “X” in an appropriate cell

Strengths of the paper:

.....
.....
.....
.....
.....

Weaknesses of the paper:

.....
.....
.....
.....

Required changes:

.....
.....
.....
.....

Additional comments:

.....
.....

Recommendation for the publisher:

- a) accept to be published
- b) accept to be published after corrections
- c) reject from publication

FOR THE PUBLISHER

Signature of the papers:

Reviewer’s affiliation:

Reviewer’s title (Ms./Mrs./Mr./Dr./Prof.):

.....
(Date and reviewer’s signature)