



POLISH POLITICAL SCIENCE YEARBOOK: REVIEW FORM

The paper

.....

.....

.....

The Initial Review Form	YES	NO
Is the paper meeting scientific standards?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Is the paper meeting research standards in the discipline?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Is the paper clearly presenting its objectives?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Is the paper well structured?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Is the paper properly using addition material, i.e. tables, image, charts or diagrams?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Is the paper referring to the essential literature?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

The Detailed Review Form	YES	NO
Is the paper meeting best standards of study design?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Is the paper applying adequate methodologies?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Is the paper presenting valuable findings?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Is the paper contributing to the discipline?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Is the paper based on innovative approaches?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Is the paper clearly presenting its findings?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

The Final Evaluation		
The paper should be accepted for publication	The paper should be edited before publication	The paper should be rejected

POLITICAL SCIENCE
YEARBOOK

ISSN 0208-7375
www.marszalek.com.pl/yearbook



adam marszalek POLSKIE TOWARZYSTWO NAUK POLITYCZNYCH

Reviewer's comment to the paper:

Necessary changes:

Suggested changes:

IMPORTANT: To finalise the assessment, please answer the questions on the next page



I am not aware of the possible conflict of interest between me and the author considering conditions of the double-blind peer-review.

The reviewer's name and surname:

The reviewer's academic institution:

.....

Date and signature